wo

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

	V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
Eduardo Castro-Lemus		Case Number: <u>08-6089M</u>
present and		142(f), a detention hearing was held on March 26, 2008. Defendant was a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the
I find by a pr	F eponderance of the evidence that:	INDINGS OF FACT
i iiiα by α βι ⊠		nited States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.
		ed offense, was in the United States illegally.
×	If released herein, the defendant fac	ces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs ne jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported
	The defendant has no significant conta	cts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.	
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal histo	ory.
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.	
	The defendant is an amnesty applicar substantial family ties to Mexico.	nt but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has
	There is a record of prior failure to appe	ear in court as ordered.
	The defendant attempted to evade law	enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
	The defendant is facing a maximum of	years imprisonment.
at the time of	f the hearing in this matter, except as noted CO	NCLUSIONS OF LAW
1. 2.	DIRECTION	ns will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required. NS REGARDING DETENTION
a corrections appeal. The of the United	facility separate, to the extent practicable, f defendant shall be afforded a reasonable of States or on request of an attorney for the the United States Marshal for the purpose	the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in rom persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending pportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding. AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE
IT IS deliver a cop Court.	ORDERED that should an appeal of this d	etention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to b Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District
IT IS Services suf	FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a ficiently in advance of the hearing before the potential third party custodian.	a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial he District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and
DA	TED this 26 th day of March, 2008	
		- August 1
	Unite	David K. Duncan ed States Magistrate Judge